Organization of the periodicity windows in a family of two-dimensional mappings

Juliano A. de Oliveira^{*}, L. T. Montero, D. R. da Costa, J. A. Mendez-Bermùdez, R. O. Medrano-T and E. D. Leonel

¹Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Câmpus de São João da Boa Vista, SP, Brazil

²Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Instituto de Geociências e Ciências Exatas, Departamento de Física, Câmpus de Rio Claro, SP, Brazil

³Instituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, México

⁴Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), Instituto De Ciências Ambientais, Químicas e Farmacêuticas, Departamento de Física, Câmpus de Diadema, Brazil

Abstract

• Hamilton Systems - a mathematical formalism developed by Hamil-

where $\Lambda_i^{(n)}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $M = \prod_{i=1}^n J_i(\theta, I)$ and J_i is the Jacobian matrix of the mapping evaluated along the orbit (θ_i, I_i) . If at least one λ_i is positive, then the system is withing a chaotic regime. On the other hand, when trajectories are describing periodic oscillations, all λ_i are negative. $\lambda = 0$ indicates the attractor is undergoing a bifurcation.



- ton to describe the evolution of equations of the physical system. • Discrete mappings - the evolution equations allows us to calculate an state I_{n+1} at a time n+1 from the state I_n at the previous time n.
- Dissipation is introduced in the system.
- Lyapunov exponents are used to characterize the chaotic attractors and show the organization of the periodicity windows.

Motivation

We discuss some dynamical properties for a set of two dimensional Hamiltonian mappings. We assume that there is a two-dimensional integrable system that is slightly perturbed. The Hamiltonian function that describes the system is

$$H(I_1, I_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) = H_0(I_1, I_2) + \varepsilon H_1(I_1, I_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) , \qquad (1)$$

where the variables I_i and θ_i with i = 1, 2 correspond respectively to the action and angle and ε controls a transition from integrability to non integrability. A two dimensional mapping which qualitatively describes the behavior of (1) is

$$T: \begin{cases} I_{n+1} = I_n + \varepsilon H(\theta_n, I_{n+1}) \\ \theta_{n+1} = [\theta_n + F(I_{n+1}) + \varepsilon P(\theta_n, I_{n+1})] \mod(2\pi) \end{cases}$$
(2)





Fig. 5: *Magnification of the region delimited by a rectangle in Fig.* 4(*a*). *Source* [7].



Fig. 6: *Parameter space* δ vs. ϵ *highlighting part of a street shown in Fig. 1 colored by the Lyapunov exponent while in (b) the color* identifies the period of the structure. Source [7].

where H, F and P are assumed to be nonlinear functions of their variables.

For many mappings in the literature, the function $P(\theta_n, I_{n+1}) = 0$. Hence, if we keep H as $H(\theta_n) = \sin(\theta_n)$, and vary F, to illustrate applicability of the formalism, we nominate the following mappings: • Considering $F(I_{n+1}) = I_{n+1}$, the Taylor-Chirikov's map is obtained;

• $F(I_{n+1}) = 2/I_{n+1}$, the Fermi-Ulam accelerator model is recovered;

• $F(I_{n+1}) = \zeta I_{n+1}$, with ζ constant, the bouncer model is obtained; • $F(I_{n+1}) = 1/I_{n+1}^{3/2}$, the kepler map is found.

The Model 3

We consider the introduction of dissipation in a family of twodimensional mappings. The mappings are defined as

$$T: \begin{cases} I_{n+1} = |\delta I_n - (1+\delta)\epsilon \sin(2\pi\theta_n)| \\ \theta_{n+1} = [\theta_n + I_{n+1}^{\gamma}] \mod 1 \end{cases},$$
(3)

where the parameter ϵ controls the non-linearity, δ is the parameter controlling the amount of dissipation and γ is a free parameter. If $\delta = 1$ the conservative case is recovered.

In the dissipative case the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of the mapping (3) is Det J = $\delta \operatorname{sign} [\delta I_n - (1 + \delta)\epsilon \sin(2\pi\theta_n)]$, where the **Fig. 3**: (a) Sequence of slightly different complex sets colored according to the maximum Lyapunov exponent and (b) the corresponding period of the orbits. Source [7].



Final Comments 5

We have considered a family of two-dimensional mappings parametarized by ϵ and δ control parameter. The choose of the control parameter $\delta = 1$ recover the conservative system. We used the Lyapunov exponents to characterize the chaos and by observing structures of periodicity in the high definition parameter space, we identified the shrimps structures.

Acknowledgments 6

JAO thanks CNPq (303242/2018-3, 421254/2016-5, 311105/2015-7) and FAPESP (2018/14685-9, 2014/18672-8). DRC acknowledges Brazilian agency CAPES. EDL thanks to CNPq, FUNDUNESP, and FAPESP (Grant Nos. 2012/23688-5, 2008/57528-9, and 2005/56253-8), Brazilian agencies. LTM thanks CNPq/UNESP. ROMT thanks FA-PESP (2015/50122-0).

Reference

1- A. J. Lichtenberg, M. A. Lieberman, Regular and chaotic dynamics (Appl. Math. Sci.) 38, NY: Springer Verlag, 1992. 2- Juliano A. de Oliveira, R. A. Bizão, Edson D. Leonel. Phys. Rev. E. **81**, 046212, 2010.

function sign(u) = 1 if u > 0 and sign(u) = -1 if u < 0.

Parameter Space

To explore the influence of the dissipation in the parameter space δ vs. ϵ , we use two techniques: (i) calculation of the maximum Lyapunov exponent, and (ii) computation of periods. While in the latter, the period is directly computed by counting the number of points that compose the attractor. The Lyapunov exponent were computed as

$$\lambda_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \left| \Lambda_j^{(n)} \right|, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (4)

Fig. 4: Structures resulting from a cubic homoclinic tangencies colored by the Lyapunov exponent: (a) spring-area and (b) saddle-area. Source [7].

3- C. M. Kuwana, J. A. de Oliveira, and E. D. Leonel, Physica A 395, 458, 2014.

4- J. A. de Oliveira and E. D. Leonel, J. Phys. A 45, 165101, 2012. 5- J. A. de Oliveira and E. D. Leonel, Int. J. Bif. Chaos 22, 1250248, 2012.

6- J. A. C. Gallas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2714, 1993.

7- J. A. de Oliveira, L. T. Montero, D. R. da Costa, J. A. Mendez-Bermùdez, R. O. Medrano-T and E. D. Leonel. Chaos, 29, 053114, 2019.

*juliano.antonio@unesp.br